

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE  
PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION

No. 2:12-md-02323-AB  
MDL No. 2323

Kevin Turner and Shawn Wooden, on behalf  
of themselves and others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

National Football League and NFL Properties, LLC,  
successor-in-interest to NFL Properties, Inc.,

Defendants.

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Zimmerman Reed LLP v. Orson Mobley  
Attorney Lien Dispute  
(Doc. No. 7135)

**EXPLANATION AND ORDER**

DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

November 19, 2019

Before the Court is a Notice of Attorney's Lien ("Lien") seeking attorney's fees and costs from any Monetary Claim to be paid to Settlement Class Member Orson Mobley, which was filed on February 9, 2017 by Zimmerman Reed LLP ("Zimmerman"). (Doc. No. 7135). Mr. Mobley is currently represented by The Law Office of Hakimi & Shahriari ("Hakimi"), formerly known as Top NFL Lawyers. On February 8, 2018, the Claims Administrator issued a Notice of Lien to Hakimi. On September 17, 2019, the Claims Administrator issued a Post-Appeal Notice of Monetary Award Claim Determination.

The District Court referred all Petitions for individual attorney's liens to this Magistrate Judge. (Doc. No. 7746). Rules Governing Attorneys' Liens ("Rules") were adopted on March 6,

2018 and amended on October 3, 2018. (Doc. Nos. 9760 and 10283). Pursuant to Rule 12, Zimmerman and Hakimi (the “Parties”) were advised of their right to consent to have the Magistrate Judge issue a final Order to resolve this dispute. The Parties have consented to jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge. (Doc. No. 10898). Accordingly, this Opinion will serve as the final decision of the Court concerning this attorney lien dispute.

Zimmerman and Hakimi submitted a Withdrawal of Attorney’s Lien Dispute (the “Withdrawal”) pursuant to Rule 24 on November 5 and November 14, 2019. The agreement clearly indicates the percentage of the Award that each law firm will receive and sets forth an agreement as to how to disburse any portion of the 5% holdback that may be released in the future. The agreement was negotiated by law firms that were informed and concerned about their own interest. Upon review of the Withdrawal and the contingency fee agreements, and in consideration of the Presumptive Fee Cap (Doc. No. 9863), we conclude that the fee to be paid to each firm is reasonable, does not exceed the percentage for which the parties contracted, and that the collective fees are within the percentage allowed under the 22% Presumptive Fee Cap. Accordingly, we conclude that the Withdrawal should be granted and that the Claims Administrator should be ordered to distribute the attorneys’ fees to Zimmerman and Hakimi as set forth in the Withdrawal.<sup>1</sup> We also have reviewed the lists of itemized costs submitted by each firm and the provision of Mr. Mobley’s agreements with the firms that provide for reimbursement of costs. We conclude that both Zimmerman and Hakimi are entitled to the costs asserted.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the Court’s Order Regarding Withholdings for Common Benefit Fund (Doc. No. 10104), 5% of the Award is currently being held in the Attorney’s Fees Qualified Settlement Fund, where it must remain until further order of the Court. The Claims Administrator will ensure that if any portion of those funds are later released they will be paid pursuant to the agreed terms in the Withdrawal.

**AND NOW**, this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2019, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Withdrawal of the Lien Dispute is **GRANTED**; and
2. The Claims Administrator is **ORDERED** to distribute the withheld funds in accordance with this decision, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, and all Court Orders regarding implementation.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ David R. Strawbridge, USMJ  
DAVID R. STRAWBRIDGE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE